

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/637,229	KOC ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christian La Forgia	2131	

All Participants:

(1) Christian La Forgia.

(2) Michael Jones (Reg No. 41,879).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 rejection of claim 16

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon further reviewing claim 16, the Examiner noted that it appeared to be non-statutory as it was directed toward mathematical operations performed on a computer. The Examiner contacted Mr. Jones with a proposed amendment clarifying an application for the claimed mathematical algorithms. The representative for Applicant and the Examiner worked out the claim language that would obviate a possible 101 rejection. The agreed upon changes are reflected in the enclosed Examiner's Amendment.